CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DOCUMENTATION FOR DNRC FOREST MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY

Project Name: <u>BAR NB LUL</u> Proposed Implementation Date: <u>August 15, 2017</u> Proponent: <u>Zane Brown, dba Bar NB LLC, DNRC Plains Unit</u>

<u>Type and Purpose of Action:</u> Zane Brown has submitted a Land Use License (LUL) application for the use of approximately 0.75 miles of existing road on State lands in S36, T25N, R32W to haul approximately 100 mbf of forest products from Avista properties.

Location: Section 36, T25N, R32W Common Schools (CS) Trust County: Sanders

Category (refer to ARM 36.11.447 for additional detail):

1)	lemporary Uses of Land with Negligible Effects
2)	Plans and Policies
<u>X</u> 3)	Leases and Licenses
4)	Acquisition of Land or Interest in Land
5)	Road Maintenance and Repair
6)	Bridges and Culverts
7)	Crossing Class 3 Streams
X8)	Temporary Road Use Permits
9)	Road Closure
10)	Material Stockpiles
11)	Backfilling
12)	Gathering Forest Products for Personal Use
13)	Regeneration
14)	Nursery Operations
15)	Water Wells
16)	Herbicides and Pesticides
17)	Other Hazardous Materials
18)	Fences
19)	Waterlines
20)	Removal of Small Trees
21)	Removal of Hazardous Trees
22)	Cone Collection
23)	Timber Harvest (<100 MBF green or 500 MBF salvage)

By process of the adoption of the Administrative Rules for Forest Management on February 27, 2003, pursuant to ARM 36.2.523(5)(a), the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, Trust Land Management Division, has adopted the above

categorical exclusions for activities conducted on state forest lands. "Categorical Exclusion" refers to a type of action that does not individually, collectively, or cumulatively require an EA or EIS unless extraordinary circumstances occur (ARM 36.2.522(5)).

Extraordinary Circumstances:

...

\/F0

Will the proposed action affect one or more of the following resources or situations in the project area? If the resource or situation is present, but project design avoids potential adverse effects on the resource, the answer is "no". One "Yes" answer indicates that Categorical Exclusion is not appropriate for the project, and an EA or EIS must be conducted.

YES	NO						
	X	1) Sites with h	igh erosion risk.				
	$\frac{X}{X}$	2) Federally lis	sted threatened a	and endangered specie	es or critical		
		habitat for t	hreatened and e	ndangered species as	designated		
		by the USF		•	Ü		
	<u>X</u>	3) Municipal w					
	X			eams or lakes, except t	for		
modification or replacement of bridges, culverts and ot							
		crossing str	•				
	X	5) State natura					
X 6) Native American religious and cultural sites.							
	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	7) Archaeolog					
X 8) Historic properties and areas.							
	X 9) Several related projects that individually may be subject to categorical exclusion but that may occur at the same time or in						
		the same g	eographic area.	Such related actions n	nay be		
		subject to e	nvironmental rev	riew even if they are no	ot individually		
		subject to re	eview.	-	-		
	<u>X</u>	10) Violations o	f any applicable	state or federal laws or	r regulations.		
The project	ct listed abo	ove meets the d	lefinition of the inc	dicated categorical excl	usion,		
including s	specified co	onditions and ex	traordinary circui	mstances, as provided	in the		
Administra	ative Rules	for Forest Mana	agement (ARM 3	6.11.447).			
Prepared	by: David C		<u>8/7/2017</u>		_		
	(Nam	e)		(Date)			
Daninian k	au David (Olean		Dialoga I India Managana			
Decision t	oy: <u>David (</u>			Plains Unit Manager			
		(Name)		(Title)			
	/c/7) 11	vid Olsen		8/10/2017			
		(Signature)		(Date)			

